### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PAC/24924	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/GB2006/000839	International filing date (day/month/year) 08 March 2006 (08.03.2006)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08 April 2005 (08.04.2005)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant Information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES, INC.					

This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).

2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.							
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the report						
	Box No. II	Priority	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention						
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited						
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application						
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application						
<ol> <li>The International Burson will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Roles 4446: 3(c) and 9316c.1 but not, accept where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the explination of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 446s: 2).</li> </ol>								
			Date of issuance of this report 09 October 2007 (09.10.2007)					
The International Bureau of WIPO  34. chemin des Colombettes			Authorized officer  Dorothée Mülhausen					
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			•					
_	Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 e-mail: pt01.pct@wipo.int							
Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)								

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INT	m the ERNATIONAL SEA	ARCHING AUTHO	RITY						
Тс	То:				PCT				
see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)						
L					Date of mall (day/month/)		form PCT/ISA/210 (sec	cond sheet)	
	Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
	International application No. International PCT/GB2006/000839 08.03.200			laylmonth/year	sylmonth/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 08.04.2005				
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. C09K8.509 C09K8.516 C09K8.68 E21B43.26 E21B33/138								
	ilicant LLIBURTON EN	ERGY SERVICE	ES, INC.						
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:								
	Box No. I	Basis of the opin Priority	nion						
	☐ Box No. III		ent of opinio	on with rega	rd to novelty.	inventive	step and industrial	applicability	
	☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					аррио <b>цо</b> пи <b>у</b>			
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
	☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited								
	☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observat	ions on the	internation	al application				
2.	FURTHER ACTI								
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the international Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified international Bureau under Rule 66:1bis(b) that written opinions of this international Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to sativit to be IPEA providen reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mall of many many many many many many many many								
	For further option	s, see Form PCT/	ISA/220.						
3.	For further details	s, see notes to For	rm PCT/ISA	V220.					
Nam	and mailing addres	s of the ISA:		Date of con	npletion of	Authoriz	ed Officer		
_	<u> </u>	Patent Office - P.B. 5	740 D	this opinion	•			131	
		781911 Office - P.B. 5 V Rijswijk - Pays Bes 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 6		PCT/ISA/21	0	Wenge	eler, H	( <i>(le</i> ))	

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2006/000839

_							
_	В	ox N	lo. I Basis of the opinion				
1	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	×						
		a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).					
2.	t. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acld sequence discussed in the International application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type of material:						
			a sequence listing				
			table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:						
			on paper				
			in electronic form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
			contained in the international application as filed.				
			filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		CO	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filled or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional lose is identical to that in the application as filled or does not go beyond the application as filled, as propriate, were turnished.				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

b

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-20,25-26

1-26

1-26

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims No: Claims

No: Claims

Yes: Claims

Yes: Claims No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V.

×

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 4 814 096 A (EVANI ET AL) 21 March 1989 (1989-03-21)

D2: WO 2004/022667 A (HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES, INC; EOFF, LARRY, S; REDDY, RAGHAVA, B; W) 18 March 2004 (2004-03-18)

D3: US 2003/083204 A1 (CHATTERJI JITEN ET AL) 1 May 2003 (2003-05-01)

D4: US 6 497 283 B1 (EOFF LARRY S ET AL) 24 December 2002 (2002-12-24)

D5: US 2003/094122 A1 (EOFF LARRY S ET AL) 22 May 2003 (2003-05-22)

#### 2 Novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT)

- 2.1 Document D1 (claims 1-11, col. 2, I. 41-55) discloses a method of introducing an aqueous flooding medium into a subterraneous formation. The flooding medium comprises a hydrophilic/hydrophobic polymer (col 4-7). The subject-matter of claims 1 and 25 is deemed to be anticipated by this teaching.
- 2.3. Document D2 (claims 1-10, example 1) refers to a method (claims 10) of treating subterranean formations. As fluid loss control agents compositions are utilized which are identical with the claimed compositions of claims 1-26. The subject-matter of claims 1-26 is not novel in view of this teaching.
- 3. Inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT)

Even if the Applicant were to overcome the above objections with respect to novelty, there would still remain a strong objection under Art. 332) PCT. In the absence of any convincing evidence for a technical effect, the objective technical problem underlying the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 21 and 25 in view of documents D1 and D2 could only be formulated as to provide a further method of providing fluid loss control to a subterranean formation. An obvious solution to this problem, which does not involve an inventive step as required by Art. 33(2) PCT would have been to test further loss control fluids such as disclosed in D1-D5.